Planning & Environment

Planning Team Report

Ku-ring-gai LEP 2012 (Local Centres) - Reclassification of 8-10 Tryon Road, and 3-5 Kochia Lane, Lindfield from community to operational land.				
Proposal Title :	Ku-ring-gai LEP 2012 (Local Lane, Lindfield from commu		of 8-10 Tryon Road, and 3-5	Kochia
Proposal Summary	Planning Proposal to reclass Road, and 3-5 Kochia Lane,			0 Tryon
PP Number :	PP_2015_KURIN_006_00	Dop File No	15/18211	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received	10-Dec-2015	LGA covered :	Ku-Ring-Gai	
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Ku-ring-gai Council	
State Electorate :	KU-RING-GAI	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Propos	al
LEP Type :	Reclassification	15		
Location Details			κ.	
Street : 8-	-10 Tryon Road			
Suburb : Li	indfield City :	Ku-ring-gai	Postcode : 2070	
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 2-3 DP 219628 and Lot 5 DP 21	19146	8	
Street : 3-	-5 Kochia Lane			
Suburb : Li	indfield City :	Ku-ring-gai	Postcode : 2070	
Land Parcel : Lot 12 DP 225925 and Lot 31 DP 804447				
DoP Planning Officer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Tai Ta			
Contact Number :	0298601567			
Contact Email :	tai.ta@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Det	ails			
Contact Name :	Craige Wyse	ж.		
Contact Number :	0294240855			
Contact Email :	cwyse@kmc.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Terry Doran			
Contact Number :	0298601579	18		
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		

_				
	Land Release Data		4 2	-
	Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
	Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
	If Yes, comment :			
	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting The redevelopment concept for the site consists of one or two levels of basement car Notes : parking across the site with a village green and commercial uses above the basement.			
		The planning proposal indicates a current certificates of title, howev interest applies to 5 Kochia Lane	er, Council is considering wh	
		The subject site is zoned B2 Loca	al Centre.	
	External Supporting Notes :	<u>a</u>		
Adequacy Assessment				
	Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)			
	Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes			
	Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to operational land to enable Coun- Lindfield and to provide Coun- that may occur on the site resu- (such as, potentially, commute commercial operations, such a	Incil to redevelop the existing cil with greater flexibility to de ulting from the development o er car parking by Transport fo	public car parking facilities at eal with any land use matters of the Lindfield Village Green

Existing car parking capacity is 138 spaces. The estimated number of car parking spaces to be provided in the redevelopment is 100 spaces. There is, however, the potential for an additional 100 commuter car spaces that may be provided by Transport for NSW.

1

Explanation of provis	sions provided - s55	(2)(b)
Is an explanation of prov	visions provided? Yes	
Comment	Environmental Plan (al seeks to amend Schedule 4, Part 2 of the Ku-ring-gai Local Local Centres) 2012 by reclassifying the existing Tryon Road car park munity to operational land.
Justification - s55 (2))(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	y been agreed to by the D	birector General? No
b) S.117 directions ident	ified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director	General's agreement	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Is the Director Generation	al's agreement required?	N/A
c) Consistent with Stand	ard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have th	ne RPA identified?	SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		INDUSTRIAL ZONES: es to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or or industrial zone.
		not alter the current business zone nor impose different devolvement ntly apply and is therefore consistent with this direction.
		SERVATION: es as the subject site is in proximity to several locally listed heritage St Alban's Anglican Church that is located diagonally opposite the
		sidered to be consistent with this direction as it does not alter the P in regard to heritage items.
	This Direction does	AND USE AND TRANSPORT: not strictly apply to the reclassification planning proposal as it will ove a zone/provision relating to urban land.
	improvements, incre improvements to the	, the intent of the planning proposal is to facilitate a suite of traffic ease in commuter parking facilities and pedestrian access e Lindfield train station and other areas of the Lindfield Local al is therefore considered to be consistent with the intent of the
Have inconsistencies wit	h items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :		6

1

1

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Maps are included in the Council's planning proposal, and are sufficient for exhibition purposes. As the planning proposal does not involve a rezoning, no changes to the maps are necessary. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Public exhibition for a period of 28 days is proposed. A public hearing is to be held in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government Act 1993. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation The Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental (Local Centres) 2012 came into effect on 25 January to Principal LEP : 2013.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal : The planning proposal is necessary to enable Council to change the status of the subject land from community to operational land. It is the only means of reclassifying community land to operational under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

Consistency with The planning proposal is consistent with the applicable regional and subregional strategic planning strategies. framework : A Plan for Growing Sydney provides key directions and actions to guide Sydney's productivity, environmental management, and liveability - including the delivery of housing, employment, infrastructure and open space. This planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the relevant key goals of the Plan as it will facilitate the future development of the Lindfield Village Green, as well as providing greater flexibility for the leasing of new commercial floor space, commuter car parking and pedestrian and road improvements. The proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant Section 117 Directions. State Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of Land The Policy states that land must not be developed if contamination renders it unsuitable for a proposed use. If the land is unsuitable, remediation must take place before the land is developed. A Phase One (1) Environmental Site Assessment has been undertaken. A copy of the Environmental Site Assessment is attached at Appendix D. Based on a review of the available desktop search data, observations made during a site walkover, and the proposed land use scenario of one or two basement levels of car parking across the site, with village green and commercial above the basement, the study report concludes that the potential for unacceptable concentrations of contamination (with respect to human health) to be present in soils on the site, is considered to be low to negligible. Therefore, the site is considered suitable (from a contamination perspective) for the proposed redevelopment land use scenario. Based on the above, this Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of this SEPP. Environmental social **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

economic impacts :

The subject land is an existing car park. The planning proposal is not proposing a rezoning or a change in land use and therefore it is expected that there will not be any

likely environmental effects arising from the reclassification process.

SOCIAL IMPACTS

The reclassification of the site will have social benefits for the Lindfield community.

Once reclassified Council intends to redevelop the site, by replacing the existing car park with a one or two level basement car park, and providing a landscaped village green urban park, an amenities building and café over the underground parking. A village green and café will have the potential to create opportunities for the community's social interaction and enjoyment of passive open space. Therefore the proposal will have social benefits for the Lindfield community.

It is noted however that there is a proposed reduction in the number of existing car spaces. The planning proposal does not provide details regarding the potential loss of spaces and proposed compensatory measures. A condition in this regard is recommended for attachment to the determination.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

In terms of economic effects, the reclassification of the sites will have economic benefits for both the Lindfield local community and Council.

The reclassification of the council owned lands will allow for a range of potential economic benefits to be achieved. Such benefits may include the following;

· activation and re-invigoration of a declining commercial centre.

· generation of local employment and investment;

· potential nearby development leading to further contribution funds;

Page 5 of 8

01 Mar 2016 03:31 pm

potential increase in value of the Council's assets;

- potential gain in actual or prospective revenue through leasing of new commercial space; and
- * reduced maintenance of new building assets.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Consistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make	9 months	Delegation :	Nil
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Energy Australia Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Roads and Sydney Water	Maritime Services	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No	×	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes	*	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b If Yes, reasons :) : No		
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.		
If Other, provide reasons	.		
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :	-	2)
No internal consultation	n required		
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure relevant	to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
Preferred Design Concept - Appendix E.pdf	Drawing	No
Environmental Assessment - Appendix D.pdf	Study	No
Council Report - Appendix A.pdf	Proposal	No
Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	No
Title Search.pdf	Proposal	No
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

	S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation
		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land
_		

Page 6 of 8

4.4 Planning	for Bushfire	Protection
--------------	--------------	------------

- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Additional Information :

GATEWAY DETERMINATION

As the matter is of a minor nature and is consistent with a strategy prepared by Ku-ring-gai Council, it is recommended that the Gateway determination function be exercised by the Director Sydney Region West, under delegation.

DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTION

Ku-ring-gai Council has not requested the delegation of the plan-making function in relation to this planning proposal. As the proposal may require the Governor's approval for the extinguishment of certain interests, it is not possible to delegate the making of the plan to Council.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND GATEWAY CONDITIONS

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to exhibition, the planning proposal is to be amended to:
- (a) clarify whether it is intended to extinguish interests (pages 7/8 and Appendix C refer) and to ensure that the correct deposited plan number is included in the proposal in its description of 8-10 Tryon Road; and
 (b) include advice over the potential loss of car parking spaces and any compensatory actions that are proposed.
- 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979("EP&A Act") as follows:

(a) the planning proposal be publicly exhibited for 28 days; and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for materials that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).

3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979:

-Energy Australia;

- -Transport for New South Wales Roads and Maritime Services; -Transport for NSW; and
- -Sydney Water
- 4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
- 5. The timeframe for completing the local environmental plan is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.

Supporting Reasons

The regional team supports the planning proposal in principle as it will facilitate the proposed redevelopment of the Tryon Road public car park and provide flexibility for Council's plan for revitalisation of the Lindfield Village Green.

Ku-ring-gai LEP 2012 (Local Centres) - Reclassification of 8-10 Tryon Road, and 3-5 Kochia
Lane, Lindfield from community to operational land.

Signature:

Printed Name:

DORAN Date: 0

16

2

01 Mar 2016 03:31 pm

1